COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4128-06

Bill No.: SCS for HCS for HB 1049

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary; Children and Minors; Crimes and

Punishment

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 16, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the requirements that must be contained in a school

district's anti-bullying policy.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
60	go.	\$0		
		FY 2013 FY 2014		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 4128-06

Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 1049

Page 2 of 5 May 16, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 4128-06 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 1049 Page 3 of 5 May 16, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** state this proposal has no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** stated this proposed legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

According to officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the proposal. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal with core funding. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** did not indicate any fiscal impact to their agency, but indicated local school districts might incur additional printing costs to implement this proposal. In addition, depending upon the level of training required by each school district for its school employees and volunteers, there could be significant unknown costs.

Officials from the **Parkway School District** assumed there should not be significant costs associated with this proposed legislation.

In response to the introduced version of this proposal, officials from the **Mexico School District** stated there would be no fiscal impact on their district as a result of this proposed legislation.

In response to a similar proposal from last year (HB 273 - FN 381-01), the following school districts provided the following responses:

Officials from the **St Louis Public School District** stated there would be no fiscal impact on their district

LMD:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4128-06 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 1049 Page 4 of 5 May 16, 2012

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Independence School District** stated that from a financial perspective, this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their district. Many of the provisions of the proposal are already a part of their operation.

Based on responses from school districts, **Oversight** assumes costs, if any, associated with this proposal would be minimal and could be absorbed with existing resources.

This proposed legislation was sent to the following school districts, none of which responded to a request for fiscal impact: Blue Springs, Branson, Columbia, Fair Grove, Francis Howell, Jefferson City, Kirksville, Lee's Summit, Nixa, Raytown, Sedalia, Sikeston, Silex, Special School District of St Louis County, Spickard, Springfield, St Charles, St Joseph, Sullivan, Center, Harrisonville, Raytown-Peculiar, Charleston, Calhoun, Caruthersville, Kansas City, Gilliam, Hayti, Jennings, Morgan County, Normandy, Riverview Gardens, Malta Bend, Swedeborg.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

LMD:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4128-06 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 1049 Page 5 of 5 May 16, 2012

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Development Office of State Courts Administrator Joint Committee on Administrative Rules School Districts

> Mexico Parkway St Louis Public School District Independence

> > Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 16, 2012